Analysis and Modeling of Student Grades

Backgrounds & Our data

- Nowadays, students often attach great importance to their grades. They spend a lot of time on study to obtain high scores. But are there any other indirect factors that make a big difference to the scores? We explore these factors in the project.
- 3 kinds of scores
 - \succ math score
 - reading score
 - writing score
- 5 kinds of factors

Categorical Variables

- gender (female, male)
- race/ethnicity (group A B C D E)
- parental level of education (associate's degree, bachelor's degree, master's degree, high school, some high school, some college)
- Iunch (standard, free/reduced)
- test preparation course (completed, none)

Numerical Variables

Ideas & Research Questions

 \checkmark Which factors are influential ? (focused on mean scores)



 \checkmark Is our model good? How to assess and improve the model?

Do predictions

2/3 train data 1/3 test data

Full model : include all variables

## Coefficients:						
##	Estimate	Std.	Error	t value		
## (Intercept)	48.8167	1	1.9647	24.847		
## genderfemale	3.9043	(. 9942	3.927		
## race.ethnicitygroup B	1.4708	1	. 9292	0.762		
## race.ethnicitygroup C	3.2672	1	1.7704	1.845		
## race.ethnicitygroup D	5.4096	1	. 8401	2.940		
## race.ethnicitygroup E	6.8479	2	2. 0456	3.348		
## parental.level.of.educationsome high school	1.7393	1	1.5741	1.105		
## parental.level.of.educationsome college	5.6872	1	1.4865	3.826		
## parental.level.of.educationassociate's degree	5. 5783	1	1.5117	3.690		
## parental.level.of.educationbachelor's degree	8. 7805	1	1.8190	4.827		
## parental.level.of.educationmaster's degree	9.1755	2	2. 3781	3.858		
## lunchstandard	9.0802	1	l. 0285	8.829		
## test.preparation.coursecompleted	7.8593	1	1. 0238	7.677		
##	Pr(> t)					
## (Intercept)	< 2e-16	***				
##_genderfemale	9.51e-05	***				
## race.ethnicitygroup B	0.446097					
## race.ethnicitygroup C	0.065424					
## race.ethnicitygroup D	0.003399	**				
## race.ethnicitygroup E	0.000862	***				
<pre>## parental.level.of.educationsome high school</pre>	0.269595					
## parental.level.of.educationsome college	0.000143	***				
## parental.level.of.educationassociate's degree	0.000243	***				
<pre>## parental.level.of.educationbachelor's degree</pre>	1.73e-06	***				
## parental.level.of.educationmaster's degree	0.000125	***				
## lunchstandard	< 2e-16	***				
## test.preparation.coursecompleted	5.98e-14	***				
##						
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0	.05'.'0.	1''	1			
##						
## Residual standard error: 12.69 on 653 degrees of freedom						
## Multiple R-squared: 0.2438, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2299						
## F-statistic: 17.54 on 12 and 653 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16						

Variable	Category	Pass significance level
gender	female	0.001
	group B	1
race/ethnicity	group C	0.1
	group D	0.01
	group E	0.001
parental level of education	some high school	1
	some colleg	0.001
	associate's degree	0.001
	bachelor's degree	0.001
	master's degree	0.001
lunch	standard	0.001
test preparation course	completed	0.001

Maybe removing variable **race/ethnicity** will optimize the model.

Reduced model : remove race/ethnicity

Coefficients:

##		Estimate	Std.	Error	t value		
##	(Intercept)	52.0991		1.3951	37.344		
##	genderfemale	3.8380		0.9986	3.843		
##	parental.level.of.educationsome high school	1.8237		1. 5867	1.149		
##	parental.level.of.educationsome college	6.3380		1.4918	4.248		
##	parental.level.of.educationassociate's degree	6.0291		1. 5225	3.960		
##	parental.level.of.educationbachelor's degree	9.1503		1.8333	4.991		
##	parental.level.of.educationmaster's degree	9.9637		2.3904	4.168		
##	lunchstandard	9.1695		1.0380	8.834		
##	test.preparation.coursecompleted	7.7895		1.0326	7.544		
##		$\Pr(\left > \left \left t \right \right)$					
##	(Intercept)	< 2e-16	***				
##	genderfemale	0.000133	***	_			
##	parental.level.of.educationsome high school	0.250807					
##	parental.level.of.educationsome college	2.46e-05	***				
##	$\verb parental.level.of.educationassociate's degree $	8.31e-05	***				
##	parental.level.of.educationbachelor's degree	7.69e-07	***				
##	parental.level.of.educationmaster's degree	3.48e-05	***				
##	lunchstandard	< 2e-16	***				
##	test.preparation.coursecompleted	1.52e-13	***				
##							
##	Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.	05'.' 0.	1'	' 1			
##							
##	Residual standard error: 12.82 on 657 degrees	of freed	om				
##	## Multiple R-squared: 0.2228, Adjusted R-squared: 0.2134						
##	F-statistic: 23.55 on 8 and 657 DF, p-value:	< 2.2e-10	5				

• A more credible model

- Only one category failed to pass a t-test with significance level of 0.001
- Most categories' p-values dropped. The smaller the Pr(>|t|), the more significant the variable.
- ➢ Not perfect, but works better.

Using this model, the estimate formula for mean score is :

 $\hat{Y} = 52.0991 + 3.8380 \cdot G + 1.8237 \cdot P_1 + 6.3380 \cdot P_2 + 6.0291 \cdot P_3 + 9.1503 \cdot P_4 + 9.9637 \cdot P_5 + 9.1695L + 7.7895 \cdot T$

Estimate for mean score of reference group: Gender : male; Parental level of education : high school;

- Lunch : free/reduced;
- Test preparation course : none.

Prediction



- Analysis
 - The prediction is not strong enough. The 95% CI interval is quite broad.
 - ➢ We only use categorical variables.
 - The data set miss some strongly relevant variables. eg. Studying time.



The prediction is stronger when we add reading and writing scores as variables to predict math score.